

If  $A$  is of p-rk  $k$  then

$$A \rightarrow C_p^{xk} \rightarrow C_p^{xN}$$

& we can pull back ADLM spaces to show we can't lift our inclusions further up the tower.

### Lecture 9 - Applications (Balmer)

Thm: Suppose  $K$  tt-cat, rigid & idem. complete. Suppose  $a \in K$  is s.t.  $\text{supp}(a) = Z_1 \sqcup Z_2$  ( $Z_i$  closed & disjoint)

Then  $\exists a_1, a_2 \in K$  s.t.  $a \cong a_1 \oplus a_2$  and  $\text{supp } a_i = Z_i$

Proof: Let  $J = \{a_1 \oplus a_2 \mid \text{supp } a_i \subseteq Z_i\} \subseteq K$

$J$  is a  $\otimes$ -ideal  $\checkmark$

triangulated } need: Lemma: if  $\text{supp}(a_1) \cap \text{supp}(a_2) = \emptyset$  then  
thick }  $\text{hom}_K(a_1, a_2) = 0$

Proof:  $\text{supp}(a_1^\vee \otimes a_2) = \emptyset$   
 $\Rightarrow a_1^\vee \otimes a_2 = 0$   
 $\Rightarrow \text{hom}(a_1, a_2) = \text{hom}(1, a_1^\vee \otimes a_2) = 0 \quad \square$

Now every map in  $J$  is of the form

$$a_1 \oplus a_2 \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} f & g \\ 0 & h \end{pmatrix}} b_1 \oplus b_2$$

(by the lemma)

So  $J$  is a tt-ideal, and  $J = K_{Z_1} \oplus K_{Z_2} (= K_{Z_1 \vee Z_2})$  (recall  $K_Y = \{x \in K \mid \text{supp } x \subseteq Y\}$ )

$\implies$  classification  $J = K_{Z_1 \cup Z_2}$  (as  $Z_1 \cup Z_2 = Z_1 \vee Z_2$ )

$\circ \circ \quad a \in K_{Z_1 \cup Z_2} \implies a \in K_{Z_1} \oplus K_{Z_2} \quad \square$

Filter  $K$  by  $\dim^n$  of  $\text{supp}$ :

$$K_{\leq p}^{(p)} := \{x \in K \mid \text{codim}(\text{supp}(x)) \geq p\}$$

$\cup$   
 $K^{(p+1)}$  ↑ Kruil  $\dim^n$

$$\left(\frac{K^{(p)}}{K^{(p+1)}}\right)^{\#} \xrightarrow{\sim} \coprod_{\substack{x \in \text{Spc}(K) \\ \text{ht}(x)=p}} \underbrace{\left(K_x\right)_{\{x\}}}_{\substack{\text{obs supported on } \{x\} \\ \text{"smallest subcat of } K_x\text{"}}} \quad \text{local cat at } x$$

Gluing:

Notation:  $K(U) := \left(K \Big/_{K_Z}\right)^{\#} \quad \left(\begin{array}{l} Z := \text{Spc}(K) - U \\ U \text{ q.c. open} \end{array}\right)$

$$\text{Spc}(K) = U_1 \cup U_2$$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Rightarrow K & \longrightarrow & K(U_1) \\ & & \downarrow \\ & & K(U_2) \longrightarrow K(U_1 \cap U_2) \end{array}$$

Warning: in general  $\exists f \in K$  s.t.  $f|_{U_i} = 0$  for  $i=1,2$  but  $f \neq 0$ .

Example:  $X$  scheme,  $\xi_1 \rightarrow \xi_2 \rightarrow \xi_3$  ex. seq. of v. bundles  
 $\leadsto$  ex.  $\Delta$   $\xi_1 \rightarrow \xi_2 \rightarrow \xi_3 \xrightarrow{\omega} \sum \xi_1$  in  $D(X)$

$\omega = 0 \iff$  the seq. is split exact.

In particular,  $\omega \mapsto 0$  under  $D(X) \rightarrow D(U) \quad \forall U \subseteq X$  affine.

e.g.  $\mathbb{P}^1 = \mathbb{A}^1 \cup \mathbb{A}^1$ .

For 2 opens:  $\exists$  gluing of objs up to non-unique iso  
 (non-uniqueness exactly corresponds to the "noise" from the above warning)

For 3 opens:  $\exists$  gluing, not even unique up to iso  
 (due to 2-open non-uniqueness)

For  $\geq 4$ : no gluing, a priori.

M-V exact sequence.

$$\rightarrow \text{Hom}_{U_1 \cap U_2}(\Sigma a, b) \searrow$$

$$\hookrightarrow \text{Hom}_K(a, b) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{K(U_1)}(a, b) \oplus \text{Hom}_{U_2}(a, b) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{U_1 \cap U_2}(a, b) \searrow$$

$$\hookrightarrow \text{Hom}_K(a, \Sigma b) \rightarrow \dots$$

$$G_m(K) := \text{Aut}_K(1), \quad \mathcal{O}_K(U) := \text{End}_{K(U)}(1)$$

$$\dots \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{U_1 \cap U_2}(1, \Sigma 1) \rightarrow G_m(K) \rightarrow G_m(U_1) \oplus G_m(U_2) \rightarrow G_m(U_1 \cap U_2) \searrow$$

$$\hookrightarrow \text{Pic}(K) \rightarrow \text{Pic}(U_1) \oplus \text{Pic}(U_2) \rightarrow \text{Pic}(U_1 \cap U_2)$$

Good news:  $f$  locally zero  $\Rightarrow f^{\otimes n} = 0$

Example: If  $K$  is an  $\mathbb{F}_p$ -cat, can play with  $(-)^{p^r}$ ,  $r \gg 0$

Thm: If  $K$   $\mathbb{F}_p$ -linear, there exists

$$\text{Pic}(\text{Spc}(K)) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{p}] \hookrightarrow \text{Pic}(K) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{p}]$$

Γ since  $(1+h)^{p^r} = 1 + h^{p^r}$ , this inverting  $p$  kills the "noise" =

Example:  $K = \text{stmod}_{kG}$ ,  $G$  finite gp. The above induces isom.

$$\text{Pic}(\mathcal{V}_G) \otimes \mathbb{Q} \cong T_k(G) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$$

$$\mathcal{V}_G := \text{Spc}(K) = \text{Proj } H^*(G, k)$$

$$T_k(G) := \text{Pic}(\text{stmod}(kG)) = \{ [M]_{\sim} \mid M \otimes M^{\vee} \simeq k \oplus (\text{proj.}) \}$$

"endotrivial modules"

Carlson-Thévenaz:  $T_k(P)$  for any  $p$ -grp

Q: what is  $\ker(T_k(G) \rightarrow T_k(P))$  where  $G$  finite gp &  $P$  a  $p$ -Sylow subgroup?

$$\text{Res}_P^G : K(G) \rightarrow K(P), \quad K(G) = \text{stmod } kG$$

is fully faithful, ext. of scalars wrt  $k[\frac{G}{p}] = A_p^G$

Classical descent  $\Rightarrow$  can describe  $K(G)$  as objects in  $K(P)$  + descent data

$$M \in A\text{-Mod}_k \quad \gamma: A \otimes M \xrightarrow{\sim} M \otimes A \quad \text{as } A \otimes A\text{-mod. } K(P_n \# P)$$

+ cocycle cond

$$A \otimes A \otimes M \xrightarrow{\sim} A \otimes M \otimes A$$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & \gamma_1 & \\ \gamma_2 \swarrow & G & \searrow \gamma_3 \\ & M \otimes A \otimes A & \end{array} \quad \text{as } A^{\otimes 3}\text{-mod } K(P_n \# P_n \# P_n \# P)$$

Thm:  $T_k(G, P) \cong A_k(G, P)$

where  $A_k(G, P) =$  "weak homomorphisms"

$=$  fns  $u: G \rightarrow k^*$  s.t.

- 1)  $u(h) = 1 \quad \forall h \in P$
- 2)  $u(g) = 1 \quad \forall g \text{ s.t. } P_n \# g P = 1$
- 3)  $u(g_2 g_1) = u(g_2) u(g_1) \quad \forall g_1, g_2 \text{ s.t. } P_n \# g_1 P_n \# g_2 \# P \neq 1$

$\leadsto$  C+T made some conjectures

$\leadsto$  J. Grodal:  $\mathcal{O}_P^*(G) \rightarrow k^*$

$$\Rightarrow A_k(G, P) = H^1(\mathcal{O}_P^*(G); k^*)$$

Solved the conjectures

### Lecture 10 - Verifying $\mathcal{F}$ -nilpotence (Noel)

Let  $R_G \in \text{CAlg}(Sp_G)$   $\mathcal{F}$  family of subgps

$$\text{Mod}_{Sp_G}(R_G) \xrightarrow[\otimes]{\text{Res}_{\mathcal{F}}} \lim_{\leftarrow \mathcal{O}(G)_{\mathcal{F}}} \text{Mod}_{Sp_G}(F(G/H_+, R_G))$$

IS BDS

$$\lim_{\leftarrow \mathcal{O}(G)_{\mathcal{F}}} \text{Mod}_{Sp_H}(\text{Res}_H^G R_G)$$

IS

$\text{Mod}_{Sp_G}(R_G)$  [ $\mathcal{F}$ -complete]

If  $R_G$  is  $\mathcal{F}$ -nilpotent then it is  $\mathcal{F}$ -complete; moreover all of its modules are  $\mathcal{F}$ -complete

$$\lceil X \in \mathcal{S}_p G \text{ is } \mathcal{F}\text{-complete} \iff X \xrightarrow{\sim} F(E\mathcal{F}_+, X) \rceil$$

Recall:  $\mathcal{F}$ -nilpotent  $G$ -spectra is the full subcategory spanned by  $\wedge$ -ideals gen. by  $\{G/H_+\}_{H \in \mathcal{F}}$ .

We have a map

$$\boxed{S := S_G}$$

$$S \longrightarrow F(G/H_+, S) \simeq G/H_+ \wedge S \longrightarrow S$$

$$\underset{\parallel}{[G/H]} \in \pi_0^G S = A(G)$$

Fix  $G_p \leq G$  a  $p$ -Sylow subgroup

$$S \xrightarrow{[G/G_p]} G/G_p \wedge S \longrightarrow S$$

$$\downarrow (\cdot)_{(p)} = F(EG_+, (-)_{(p)})$$

$$\underline{S}_{(p)} \longrightarrow \frac{G}{G_{p+}(p)} \longrightarrow \underline{S}_{(p)}$$

$$\underset{15}{G/G_p \wedge \underline{S}_{(p)}}$$

This restricts to under  $\text{Res}_e^G$  to a self-map of order  $|G/G_p|$  & this is a unit in  $\pi_0^G \underline{S}_{(p)}$  so the composite is an equivalence after composing w/ mult by a unit is a retract.

$\Rightarrow \underline{S}_{(p)}$  is nilpotent for the family  $\text{All}_{(p)} = \{p \text{ subgps of } G\}$   
 $\Rightarrow \forall p\text{-local spectrum } X, \underline{X} \text{ is } \text{All}_{(p)}\text{-nilpotent}$

~~Example~~ Example:  $\underline{MU}$ . Fix  $G \hookrightarrow U(N)$

$F = U(N) / \prod_N G$ , finite  $G$ -CW cx w/ isotropy in the abelian subgps of  $G$ .

Classical computation: the map  $F \rightarrow *$  induces

$$\underline{MU}^*(BG) \longrightarrow \underline{MU}^*(EG \times_G F)$$

$$\cong \underline{\pi}_*^G \underline{MU}$$

ring map

$$\cong \underline{\pi}_*^G \underline{MU} \wedge D(F)$$

of rank  $k$

Moreover the target is a finite nonzero free module over the source. This is also true after restricting to any subgroup of  $G$ .

$$\bigvee_{i \in I} \Sigma^{2i} \underline{MU} \xrightarrow{\sim} \underline{MU} \wedge D(F)$$

$G$ -equiv

$\Rightarrow \underline{MU}$  is a retract of  $\underline{MU} \wedge D(F)$

$\Rightarrow \underline{MU} \wedge D(F)$  is Ab-nilpotent

abelian subgps of  $G$

$\Rightarrow \underline{MU}$  is Ab-nilpotent

in thick subcat  
gen. by  $\{G/A+\}$ ,  
 $A$  abelian.

An up-to-homotopy ring spectrum  $E$  is called  $\mathbb{C}$ -orientable if  $\exists$  ring map  $\underline{MU} \rightarrow E$ .

$\Rightarrow E$  is an  $\underline{MU}$ -module.

If  $E \simeq E_{(p)}$  then  $E_{(p)}$  is  $All_{(p)} \cap Ab$ -nilpotent.

$$\Leftrightarrow \mathbb{F}^H E_{(p)} \simeq 0 \quad \forall H \leq G \text{ non-abelian.}$$

$\Rightarrow$  HKR induction theorems.

Hopkins-Kuhn-Ravenel

Recall that  $X \in \mathcal{F}$ -nilpotent in  $Sp_G$

$\Leftrightarrow \forall H \leq G, H \notin \mathcal{F}: \text{Res}_H^G X$  is nilpotent for the family of proper subgroups of  $H$ .

$\Leftrightarrow \forall H \notin \mathcal{F}: \bigoplus^H X = 0. \quad \bigoplus^H \text{End}(X) \cong 0$

$(\text{End}(X)[e_{\mathcal{F}_H}^{-1}])^H$

If  $R_G$  is a htpy comm.  $G$ -ring spectrum and  $\mathcal{J}$  is a collection of orthogonal reps of  $G$  that is closed under  $\oplus$  (and they should exhaust a complete  $G$ -universe)

We say  $R_G$  has mult. Thom classes if we have isos  $R_H^*(S^{|\mathcal{V}|}) \cong R_H^*(S^{\mathcal{V}})$  of  $R_H^*$ -modules natural in  $H$ .

$\Rightarrow F(S^{|\mathcal{V}|}, R_G) \xrightarrow{\sim} F(S^{\mathcal{V}}, R_G)$  of  $R_G$ -modules.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} R_G & \xleftarrow{\mu_{\mathcal{V}}} & S^{|\mathcal{V}|} \\ \mu \uparrow & \nearrow \dots & \downarrow \\ R_G \wedge R_G & \xleftarrow{\mu_{\mathcal{V}}} & S^{|\mathcal{V}|} \wedge R_G \end{array}$$

Moreover  $\mu_{\mathcal{V}+\mathcal{W}} = \mu_{\mathcal{V}} \cdot \mu_{\mathcal{W}}$

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} S^{-|\mathcal{V}|} \wedge S^0 & \xrightarrow{e_{\mathcal{V}}} & S^{\mathcal{V}} \wedge S^{-|\mathcal{V}|} & \xrightarrow{\mu_{\mathcal{V}}} & R_G \\ & \searrow \chi(\mathcal{V}) & & \nearrow & \\ & & & & \end{array}$$

Satisfies  $\chi(\mathcal{V}+\mathcal{W}) = \chi(\mathcal{V})\chi(\mathcal{W})$

$\Rightarrow e_{\mathcal{V}}$  is nilpotent in  $\pi_*^G R$   
 iff  $\chi(\mathcal{V})$  is nilpotent in  $\pi_*^G R$ .

If  $R_G$  has multiplicative Thom classes for  $k\text{-mpf}_G$   
 $\forall k \geq 0$ , some fixed  $m$  (e.g.  $R_G = \mathbb{C}$ -oriented,  $m=2$ )  
 $R_G$  is  $\text{Spin}(\partial k)$  oriented,  $m=\partial$ )

Then  $\Phi^G R_G = 0 \iff \mathcal{N}(m\tilde{P}_G)$  is nilpotent

$\iff R_G$  is  $p$ -nilpotent

$\implies \forall x \in \pi_*^G R_G$  which restricts to a nilpotent element on all proper subgps,  $x$  is nilpotent

$\implies \mathcal{N}(m\tilde{P}_G)$  is nilpotent

(so all  $\implies$  are  $\iff$ ).

$\forall G$  abelian  $p$ -grp, not elem. ab.

$\implies \underline{H}\mathbb{F}_p$  is  $E_{(p)}$ -nilpotent iff  $\forall x \in H^*(BG; \mathbb{F}_p)$

which restricts to zero on every proper subgp of  $G$  is nilpotent.

Suppose  $A$  is an abelian  $p$ -grp gen'd by  $N$  elements (and not fewer) and fix  $\Delta = C_p^{* \times N} \hookrightarrow A \hookrightarrow \mathbb{T}^N$

$$H^*(B\mathbb{T}^N; \mathbb{F}_p) \xrightarrow{i^*} H^*(BA, \mathbb{F}_p) \xrightarrow{f^*} H^*(B\Delta; \mathbb{F}_p)$$

$\mathbb{F}_p[[t_1, \dots, t_N]]$  the  $p^{\text{th}}$  power of any class  $|b| = 2$  in  $H^*(BA; \mathbb{F}_p)$  is in the image of  $i^*$ , hence the  $p^{\text{th}}$  power is nilpotent  $\iff$  it is zero.

$\implies$  any class that restricts to 0 under  $f^*$  is nilpotent

$\implies \underline{H}\mathbb{F}_p$  is  $E_{(p)}$ -nilpotent.

$E_N$  is nilpotent for abelian  $p$ -gps of rank  $\leq N$

Smash product thm of Hopkins-Ravenel:

$$S_{E_N} =: L_N S \longrightarrow E_N \quad \text{and } L_N S \text{ is in the thick } \otimes\text{-ideal gen'd by } E_N.$$

$\uparrow$   
Bousfield loc.

$\Rightarrow \underline{L_N S}$  is nilpotent for same family as  $\underline{E_N}$ .